

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/064,447	(07/15/2002	Timo Schirmer	GEMS0151PUS	GEMS0151PUS 5784		
27256	7590	10/15/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER		
ARTZ & A	RTZ, P.C	. .		SHRIVASTAV, BRIJ B			
28333 TELE	GRAPH F	ED.					
SUITE 250			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER				
COLITHEIELD MI 19024							

DATE MAILED: 10/15/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			108,
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Comments	10/064,447	SCHIRMER, TIMO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brij B Shrivastav	2859	<u> </u>
Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	corresp ndence addre	988
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 J</u>	ulv 2002	•	
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	•	rosecution as to the I	morite is
closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims			Henris is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application	,		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 5-20</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-4</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	oted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	miner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	-		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	i)-(a) or (t).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	a bassa bassa sa sabsa d		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	•	on No	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •		
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		age
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional a	oplication).
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting 			
Attachment(s)	•		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Patent Application (PTO-	

Application/Control Number: 10/064,447

Art Unit: 2859

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1, 5-12, and 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sussman et al (Pub. No. US 2003/0088174 A1), and further in view of Macovski et al (IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging Vol. MI-2 NO. 3, Sept. 1983).

As regards to claims 1 and 19, Sussman et al teach a method to receive a real time image from a magnetic resonance imaging system (figure 1; page 1, column 2, lines 20-34) to calculate signal-to-noise ratio based upon said real time image (page 1, column 2, lines 4-18). Sussman et al also teach communication system through use of media device (page 2, lines 11-15; figure 1, numerals 100, 107). Sussman et al do not teach relative SNR variant based upon said acquired signal-to-noise ratio. Macovski et al teach relative SNR variant based upon said acquired signal-to-noise ratio (pages 122, 123, see Description section). Further, regarding to claims 5-12 and 20, Sussman et al in combination of Macovski et al meet the limitations of these claims.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Macovski et al's teachings of relative SNR variant based upon said acquired signal-to-noise ratio with the teachings of Sussman et al to improve spatial resolution improving image quality.

Art Unit: 2859

2. Claims 13-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sussman et al (Pub. No. US 2003/0088174 A1), in view of Macovski et al (IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging Vol. MI-2 NO. 3, Sept. 1983), and further in view of Lampotang et al (US 6,597,939).

As regards to claim 13, Sussman et al teach a method to receive a plurality of real time images from a magnetic resonance imaging system (figure 1; page 1, column 2, lines 20-34) to calculate signal-to-noise ratio based upon each of said real time images, and calculate reference signal-to-noise ratio (page 1, column 2, lines 4-18). Sussman et al do not teach relative SNR variant, and also do not teach a communication system using audio feedback device. Macovski et al teach reference SNR and relative SNR variant based upon said acquired signal-to-noise ratio (pages 122, 123, see Description section). Lampotang et al teach a communication system with audio feedback device (column 11 and 12, lines 63-67 and 1-12).

Further, regarding to claims 14-18, Sussman et al in combination of Macovski et al and Lampotang et al meet the limitations of these claims.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Macovski et al's teachings of relative SNR variant based upon said acquired signal-to-noise ratio and Lampotang et al's teachings of audio feedback system with the teachings of Sussman et al to improve spatial resolution improving image quality.

3. Claims 2-4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/064,447

Art Unit: 2859

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brij B Shrivastav whose telephone number is 703-305-

0649. The examiner can normally be reached on 7 AM to 4 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego F. F. Gutierrez can be reached on 703-308-3875. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-304-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-0956.

Bbs

30 September 2003

Brij B. Shrivasta

Page 4

Patent Examiner